Fimite Differences

Consider the following DDE:

$$-\rho u''(x) + qu'(x) + ru(x) = f(x), \quad a < x < b$$

$$u(a) = g_a$$

$$u(b) = g_b$$

We wish to numerically approximate the solution u to this problem. The key mathematical toul we are going to use is Taylor's Theorem:

(ontinuous)
If $u:(a_1b)\to \mathbb{R}$ has k+1 derivatives at $x \in (a_1b)$, then for all sufficiently small h,

$$u(x+h) = u(x) + u'(x)h + u''(x)\frac{h^2}{2} + \dots + u^{(k)}(x)\frac{h^k}{k!} + O(h^{k+1})$$

$$P_h(x)$$

where the O(hkr) simply means thus there is a constant C st $|u(x+h) - P_n(x)| \leq C h^{K+1} \quad \text{when} \quad h \text{ is sufficiently small.}$

How do we use this? We want to replace the derivatives in our PDE by certain differences involving u(x+h) and u(x) for small h. From Taylor's theorem with k=1, we have

$$\frac{V}{V(X+V) - V(X)} = V_1(X) + O(V_2) \qquad .$$

$$V(X+V) = V(X) + V_1(X) + O(V_2) \qquad .$$

This can be interpreted as saying that we can replace u'(x) by u(x+h)-u(x) and we will introduce an error that scales like h. This is known as a first order approximation of u'(x).

Now let's approximate u''(x). We will once again use Taylov's Theorem, but in a more clever way. From Taylor's theorem with k=3

$$N(x+N) = N(x) + N_1(x) N + N_1(x) \frac{5}{N_3} + N_2(x) \frac{6}{N_3} + O(N_4)$$

We can also replace h by -h in the above to get

$$N(x-N) = N(x) - N(x)N + N''(x)\frac{5}{N^2} - N'''(x)\frac{6}{N^3} + O(N^4)$$

Adding these two equations gives us

$$u(x+h) + u(x-h) = 2u(x) + u''(x) h^2 + O(h^4) \longrightarrow$$

$$\frac{N^{2}}{(x+h)-2u(x)+u(x-h)} = u''(x) + o(h^{2}).$$

This says that we can replace u"(x) by

$$\frac{u(x+h)-2u(x)+u(x-h)}{h^2}$$
 and we will only

introduce an error of order h^2 . This is known as a second order approximation to u''(x).

To summarite:

$$N_{r_l}(x) = \frac{N_S}{N(x+\mu) - Sn(x) + n(x-\mu)} + O(N_S)$$

$$\frac{\mu}{N_l(x) = N(x+\mu) - n(x)} + O(\mu)$$

LET us substitute these into our ODE:

$$\begin{cases} -\rho \left(\frac{u(x+h)-2u(x)+u(x-h)}{N^2} + O(h^2) \right) + \\ q \left(\frac{u(x+h)-u(x)}{N} + O(h) \right) + r u(x) \end{cases} = f(x)$$

The left side can be rewritten as

$$\frac{-\rho}{h^{2}}u(x-h) + \left[\frac{2\rho}{h^{2}} - \frac{q_{1}}{h} + r\right]u(x) + \left[\frac{q_{2}}{h} - \frac{\rho}{h^{2}}\right]u(x+h) + \cdots$$

$$\vdots = r$$

$$\vdots = d$$

$$\vdots = \beta$$

$$(-\rho o(h^{2}) + q o(h))$$

$$= o(h)$$

so there

d u(x-h) + Bu(x) + Yu(x+h) + O(h) = f(x).

This says that, for sufficiently small h, our solution U to our ODE satisfies the equation

 $du(x-n) + \beta u(x) + ru(x+n) = f(x)$

up to an approximation ever that is of size h.

This is known as the 1st order forward finite difference approximation to our ODE at the point X.

So far we have shown that if a solves our ODE, then a approximately satisfies the finite difference equation at each a < x < b. We are now going to construct a function and that solves the finite difference equation at finitely many points $a < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < b$ and which also satisfies the boundary conditions $u_n(a) = g_a$, $u_n(b) = g_b$. This u_n will be a good approximation of the original solution u.

To start, let K>0 and let $N=\frac{b-\alpha}{2^K}$.

Now let $X_i = a + ih$ for $i = 0,1,..., 2^k$. Let $U_h : [a_1b] \rightarrow IR$ be piecewise linear on each [Xi, Xiti] and satisfy the finite difference equation

d $u(x_i-n) + \beta u(x_i) + \gamma u(x_i+n) = f(x_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^k-1$ as well as the boundary conditions $u(a) = u(x_0) = 9a$ $u(b) = u(x_2x) = 9b$

For notation, let $U_i = U(x_i)$ and $f_i = f(x_i)$. Since $X_i - h = X_{i-1}$ and $X_i + h = X_{i+1}$, we have the following system of equations:

 $u_0 = g_a$ $du_0 + \beta u_1 + \gamma u_2 = f_1$ $du_1 + \beta u_2 + \gamma u_3 = f_2$

 $dU_{2}^{k-2} + \beta U_{2}^{k-1} + \gamma U_{2}^{k} = f_{2}^{k}$ $U_{2}^{k} = g_{b}$

There are $2^{k}+1$ (inear equations in $2^{k}+1$)

Unknowns U_{0} , U_{1} , ..., U_{2} U_{2} U_{3} . We can solve this

8y stem for the U_{0} , U_{1} ,..., U_{2} U_{2} U_{3} . Since U_{1} is

piecewise linear on each $\{x_{i_{1}}x_{i+1}\}$, these $2^{k}+1$ Values uniquity determine U_{1} . For example, U_{1} looks like (near K=3) U_{2} U_{3} U_{3} U_{3} U_{3} U_{3} U_{3} U_{4} U_{5} U_{5} U

To Summarize the Finite Difference Method:

1. Discretize the PDE with finite differences $-\rho u'(x) + qu'(x) + ru(x) = f(x)$ $u(a) = q_a$ $u(b) = q_b$

$$-\rho\left(\frac{u(x+h)-2u(x)+u(x-h)}{h^2}\right)+q\left(\frac{u(x+h)-u(x)}{h}\right)+ru(x)=f(x)$$

$$u(\alpha)=g_{\alpha}$$

$$u(b)=g_{b}$$

2. Discretize the domain

$$N = \frac{b-a}{2^{k}}$$
 $X_{0} = a$
 $X_{1} = a + ih$
 $X_{2} = a + ih$
 $X_{3} = a + ih$
 $X_{4} = a + ih$
 $X_{5} = a + ih$
 $X_{7} = a + ih$

3. Set up linear system

$$f := f(xi)$$

4. Solve linear system for U., U, ..., Uzk

Remark In step 1, we used a 2nd order approximation for u" bout only a 1st order approximation for u". This reduces the accuracy

of our method to only 1st order. Is there a way to approximate u" to the second order, thus boosting the accuracy of our method by I degree higher? Yes! Here's how.

Start with Taylor's theorem with k=2, h, and -h:

(1)
$$U(x+h) = U(x) + U'(x)N + U''(x)\frac{n^2}{2} + O(h^3)$$

(5)
$$\Lambda(x-\mu) = \Lambda(x) - \Lambda_1(x) \mu + \Lambda_1(x) \mu_3 + O(\mu_3)$$

Subtract equation 2 from equation 1:

$$u(x+h) - u(x-h) = 2u'(x)h + 0(h^3)$$

$$\frac{u(x+h)-u(x-h)}{2h}=u'(x)+O(h^2).$$

This gives us a 2 nd order centered

approximation of u'(x). Replace u'(x)

with $\frac{U(x+h)-U(x-h)}{2h}$ in step 1 to get

a 2nd order method. This will modify whent your linear system looks like in step 3.

I leave the details to you as an exercise.

Some final remarks:

1. We can also discretize u'(x) via

 $u'(x) = \frac{u(x) - u(x-h)}{h} + o(h)$

This known as a 1st order backwards différence.

2. In our original ODE

$$-\rho u''(x) + q u'(x) + ru(x) = f(x)$$

the middle term of u'(x), known as the

advection term, gives vise to some common terminalogy:

- (i) If 9 70:
 - . The forward approximation $q u(x) \approx q \left(\frac{u(x+h) u(x)}{h} \right) is$ called a downwind approximation,
 - . The ballward approximation $gu'(x) \approx g\left(\frac{u(x)-u(x-h)}{h}\right) ; s$ called an upwind approximation.
 - (ii) If q <0
 - . The formula approximation is called an upwind approximation.
 - . The buckward approximation is called a downwind approximation.