## MATH 610 Homework 7 Hints

## Jordan Hoffart

## Exercise 1

1. This is a standard problem that we have seen before, and this is also a standard Lax-Milgram argument. Determine  $V, a: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $L: V \to \mathbb{R}$  such that the weak formulation is to find  $u \in V$  such that

$$a(u, v) = L(v)$$

for all  $v \in V$ . Choose an appropriate norm  $\|\cdot\|_V$  on V, and then show that a is continuous and coercive and L is continuous on V.

For the purposes of a later problem, we make a few remarks about the constant of continuity and the constant of coercivity for a. The constant of continuity for a will depend on q and we denote it by  $C_q$ . That is,

$$|a(u,v)| \le C_q ||u||_V ||v||_V$$

for all  $u, v \in V$ . Moreover, if you do things correctly, you can show that there is a constant C > 0 independent of q such that  $C_q \to C$  as  $q \to 0$ .

The constant of coercivity may also depend on q, and we denote it by  $\beta_q$ . That is,

$$a(u, u) \ge \beta_q ||u||_V^2$$

for all  $u \in V$ . If you choose V correctly, then you can use a Poincaré inequality to show that the coercivity constant can be chosen independently of q. That is, you can find  $\beta > 0$  independent of q such that

$$a(u,u) > \beta ||u||_V^2$$

for all  $u \in V$ .

- 2. Observe that we are doing a conforming approximation and that continuity and coercivity are preserved on subspaces.
- 3. This is similar to what we did on a previous homework. Show that Galerkin orthogonality holds. Then show that Ceá's Lemma holds: there is a constant  $C_q'>0$  such that

$$||u - u_h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C'_q \inf_{v_h \in V_h} ||u - v_h||_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

You can use without proof the following approximation property for  $V_h$ , which is also a hint from a previous homework: there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\inf_{v_h \in V_h} \|v - v_h\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le Ch \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$$

for all  $v \in H^2(\Omega)$ . Combining these results will show that there is a constant  $c_{1,q} > 0$  such that

$$||u - u_h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le c_{1,q} h ||u||_{H^2(\Omega)}$$

for all h > 0.

The constant  $c_{1,q}$  will in general depend on q, but if you do things correctly, then you can show that there is a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that  $c_{1,q} \to c_1$  as  $q \to 0$ . This will be needed in a later problem.

4. Show that, since  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ , then

$$-\Delta u + qu = f.$$

You can use without proof that if

$$\int_{\Omega} w\varphi = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , then w = 0. Then, by working with components, show that the integration by parts lemma for scalar-valued  $H^1$  functions implies that, for a vector-valued function v with each component  $v_i \in H^1(\Omega)$  and a scalar-valued  $w \in H^1(\Omega)$ , we have the following version of integration-by-parts:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot v w = - \int_{\Omega} v \cdot \nabla w + \int_{\partial \Omega} n \cdot v w$$

Combine these to get the formula for  $\alpha$ .

Now, to get the error estimate for  $\alpha - \alpha_h$ , use continuity and Galerkin orthogonality to show

$$|\alpha - \alpha_h| \le C'_q ||u - u_h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \inf_{w_h \in V_h} ||w - w_h||_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

Then use the previous part and the given approximation property of  $V_h$  to get

$$|\alpha - \alpha_h| \le c_{2,q} h^2 ||u||_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

Here, the constant  $c_{2,q}$  will depend on q but not on h or u.

Now, for the case q = 0, walk back through your arguments for the previous questions and modify them for the q = 0 case. If you do things correctly, you will be able to show that, with small modifications, all of the arguments will carry through, just now with new constants.

## Exercise 2

1. First, since  $w_h|_K$  is affine-linear on K, then  $\nabla w_h$  is constant on K. Furthermore, for an edge e contained in K on the boundary, the outward normal n is constant on e. Therefore, we have the following tricks:

$$\left(\int_{e} |n \cdot \nabla w_{h}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} = \ell_{e}^{1/2} |n|_{e} \cdot \nabla w_{h}|_{K}|,$$
$$\left(\int_{K} |\nabla w_{h}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} = |K|^{1/2} |\nabla w_{h}|_{K}|,$$

where  $\ell_e$  is the length of the edge e and |K| is the area of the triangle. Using these tricks and Cauchy-Schwarz, show that

$$\int_e n \cdot \nabla w_h v_h \leq \frac{\ell_e^{1/2}}{|K|^{1/2}} \left( \int_K |\nabla w_h|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_e v_h^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now we derive a few useful facts from shape-regularity and quasi-uniformity. Recall that shape-regularity means that there is a constant C>0 such that

$$\frac{h_K}{\rho_K} \le C$$

for all triangles  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$  and all h > 0. Here,  $h_K$  is the diameter of the triangle and  $\rho_K$  is the diameter of the largest circle that can fit inside the triangle. Using the area of the circle, this implies that

$$|K| \ge \frac{1}{2}\pi(\rho_K/2)^2 \ge Ch_K^2$$

for some constant C independent of h and K. Using this, show that

$$\frac{\ell_e}{|K|} \leq \frac{C}{h_K}$$

for some constant C independent of h and K.

Now we recall that quasi-uniformity means that there is a constant  ${\cal C}>0$  such that

$$\frac{h}{h_K} \le C$$

for all  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$  and all h > 0. Combine all of our observations to get the final estimate.

2. First, we define a norm on  $V_h$ . Let

$$||u_h||_h = \left(||\nabla u_h||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{h}||u_h||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2\right)^{1/2}$$

for all  $u_h \in V_h$ . Show that this is a norm on  $V_h$ . You do not need to prove the triangle inequality or the homogeneity property. I only want to see you show that if  $||u_h||_h = 0$ , then  $u_h = 0$ .

Now, with this norm, show that  $a_h$  is continuous and coercive and L is continuous on  $V_h$ , with  $a_h$  and L being the left-hand and right-hand sides of the given equation we are seeking a solution for. Recall, or accept without proof, that for a finite-dimensional vector space  $V_h$ , any bilinear form or linear form is automatically continuous on  $V_h$ . Therefore, I do not want you to show continuity. I only want you to show that  $a_h$  is coercive on  $V_h$  with the given norm. For that, observe that

$$a_{h}(u_{h}, u_{h}) = \|u_{h}\|_{h}^{2} - \int_{\partial \Omega} n \cdot \nabla u_{h} u_{h}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|u_{h}\|_{h}^{2} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \|u_{h}\|_{h}^{2} - \int_{\partial \Omega} n \cdot \nabla u_{h} u_{h}}_{(*)}.$$

Now, let  $\mathcal{T}_h^{\partial}$  be the set of all mesh cells that have an edge on the boundary, and let  $\mathcal{T}_h^{\partial} = \mathcal{T}_h \setminus \mathcal{T}_h^{\partial}$ . For each  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h^{\partial}$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_K^{\partial}$  be the set of edges of K that lie on the boundary. Observe that we can write

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h|^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h^o} \int_{K} |\nabla u_h|^2 + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h^o} \int_{K} |\nabla u_h|^2$$

and

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\alpha}{h} u_h^2 - n \cdot \nabla u_h u_h = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h^{\partial}} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_K^{\partial}} \int_e \frac{\alpha}{h} u_h^2 - n \cdot \nabla u_h u_h.$$

Use these observations to start bounding  $a_h(u_h, u_h)$  from below in a way that allows you to apply the previous estimate. If you do things correctly, you can then apply Young's inequality

$$a^2 + b^2 > 2ab$$

with

$$a = \left(\int_K |\nabla u_h|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$b = \left(\frac{\alpha}{h} \int_{a} u_h^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

If you do this correctly, then you can conclude that for  $\alpha \geq C > 0$  with some constant C independent of h, we have that the term (\*) above is non-negative, which then implies coercivity. Conclude with Lax-Milgram.